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Abstract

There is a distinct difference between methodology and methods in research. However, too many students, researchers, and authors of academic papers do not seem to pay attention to the crucial difference. This is true not only in education research but also in many other academic disciplines. In simple terms, the term methods refers to the research tools and techniques; for example, in the qualitative field, interviews are a tool to collect data, and in the quantitative field, a questionnaire-based survey is an example of a data collection tool. Methodology is a broader concept as it refers to the overall approach to the research, includes a justification for this approach, and links to research philosophy, i.e., how we produce knowledge. This methodological note aims to explain the confusion, drawing on examples from the published literature in education research and beyond. It also considers the complexities and crossovers. The final section ends with key advice to researchers and authors on key mistakes to avoid regarding the
Methods or Methodology

difference between methods and methodology, including covering this in early supervision discussions.
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Introduction

Over the past decade, we have noticed in several published papers and during workshops that postgraduate students and early career researchers (ECRs) in Nepal often use the terms ‘Methodology’ and ‘Methods’ interchangeably as if they mean the same. Most research articles in the education field include information about methods, i.e., the tools and techniques applied to collect and analyse the data; however, fewer cover methodology, i.e., the overall framework of the reasons and the reasons why certain methods were chosen instead of others. The methodology is often brushed over or even completely omitted due to the limited word length of a research article in a peer-reviewed journal. However, we feel that all too often, it seems that authors have not really thought about these issues or, worse, do not seem to be aware of them. This is not just true for education; for example, a paper published in Environmental Education Research (Dillon & Wals, 2006) noted the confusion over methods and methodologies. Similarly, a computer science paper noted that selecting methods and methodologies can be ‘tedious and difficult’ (Håkansson, 2013, p. 69).

Neither is this a problem unique to Nepal. It is a recurrent issue amongst ECRs and postgraduate students in the global North, especially those in the more applied disciplines such as health education, nursing, and public health. For example, McLachlan and Garcia (2015) noted that doctoral students are presented with a seemingly bewildering range of methodologies and philosophical positions. They added that students should take “a reflexive and provocative nature of applying philosophy in practice” (McLachlan & Garcia, 2015, p. 208). Franco (2016) explored the difficulties of researchers when learning about philosophical approaches and methodologies, including such challenges as students being unaware of their own philosophical positions, students not seeing how it impacts their research, and being unaware of the conceptual frameworks for qualitative frameworks and the range of qualitative methodologies.
We are a multidisciplinary team representing a range of disciplines, including education, health, social work, and social sciences. Scholars often note that textbooks have limited information on research methods and methodology (Mackenzie & Knipe, 2006). We also looked at several more recently published methods textbooks and found that there are issues with authors not clearly introducing and/or defining methodology (e.g., Denscombe, 2010, 2021; Flick, 2015; Vear, 2022; Walliman, 2011).

In contemporary society, unsurprisingly, people often turn to the web for support, and we also found several blogs (for academics and universities) stating commonly confused terms on methods and methodologies (see Box 1).

**Box 1: Online Sources Discussing the Difference Between Methodology and Methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Some examples of blogs online that highlight the confusion between the terms methodology and methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://researcher.life/blog/article/commonly-used-research-terms/">https://researcher.life/blog/article/commonly-used-research-terms/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.enago.com/academy/difference-methods-and-methodology">https://www.enago.com/academy/difference-methods-and-methodology</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.scribbr.co.uk/faqs/method-vs-methodology/">https://www.scribbr.co.uk/faqs/method-vs-methodology/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://terrynwilliams.wordpress.com/2016/10/10/commonly-confused-words-methodology/">https://terrynwilliams.wordpress.com/2016/10/10/commonly-confused-words-methodology/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.redwoodink.com/resources/word-choice-methodology-vs-methods">https://www.redwoodink.com/resources/word-choice-methodology-vs-methods</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="https://www.paperpal.com/blog/researcher-resources/research-advice/confusing-elements-of-a-research-paper-that-trip-up-most-academics/">https://www.paperpal.com/blog/researcher-resources/research-advice/confusing-elements-of-a-research-paper-that-trip-up-most-academics/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Given this, the paper addresses the question: “Why are people confusing methodology with methods?” It will do this by outlining concepts and their differences, using examples to illustrate why the confusion may occur, and then making suggestions to overcome these issues. The purpose of this paper is to remind postgraduate students and ECRs to understand and reflect on the differences in the terms used and for PhD supervisors to spend time on this important issue to support students to effectively defend viva and/or support the submission of their papers. This paper first highlights the importance of knowing the difference.
Methodology Versus Method

As noted above, these two words are too often confused. Therefore, we shall first outline the concept of methodology. The methodology considers how the researcher is going to systematically address the research problem. In other words, it refers to the framework or overall approach to doing the research.

Methodology is the broader concept of the two; it (a) is the overall approach to the research and includes a justification of this approach (Denicolo & Becker, 2017); (b) derives from the research philosophy (Dillon & Wals, 2006); and (c) is a way of producing knowledge (Clark et al., 2021). The selected methodology is informed by the researchers’ worldview and epistemological perspective (Shannon-Baker, 2016). A methodology identifies the philosophy of an approach the researcher adheres to; it considers how new knowledge could be gained (Denicolo & Becker, 2017) and guides and informs the research (Creswell, 1998). However, the methodology might not be necessarily driven by the epistemological perspective (how we know things) but instead come from a pragmatic philosophy where researchers take a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative methods, e.g., in-depth interviews or focus group discussions (qualitative) and an online survey (quantitative). This approach can be particularly helpful for exploring phenomena in different groups and situations (Younas et al., 2022). It enables us to critically evaluate and select the most appropriate (= useful) methods to address the research question. It involves examining the definition of the research question and assessing the most appropriate methods.

Methods

Methods are techniques and tools used to conduct the research (Dillon & Wals, 2006), i.e., how data is collected (Bryman, 2012; Flick, 2015; Silverman, 2005; Strauss & Corbin, 1998). Researchers must ensure they select the appropriate tools (methods) to address their overall research question best. Methods can include primary research or secondary research. The former may include questionnaire surveys, interviews, participant observations, focus groups, or biomedical measurements. Secondary research methods include using systematic literature reviews or scoping reviews or the analysis of routinely collected data (e.g., medical tests, school registers, prison records, and census data). However, as Bryman (2008) notes methods also include analyses.
techniques (e.g., statistical techniques or thematic analysis), or sampling, i.e., how participants are identified, selected or approached.

There are also specific types of tools and methods associated with the different methodological approaches (Denicolo & Becker, 2017), for example, observation and interviews are associated with interpretative methodological approaches, whereas laboratory-based education experiments are associated with positivistic approaches. However, some of these methods are not exclusively linked to one methodology or another and other methodological approaches may also employ these methods.

**Explaining the Common Confusion**

Informal reference to researchers as qualitative or quantitative researchers and research as qualitative or quantitative research can create confusion for both postgraduate students or ECRs. It implies that the method is the most important distinction between kinds of research, which ignores the importance of the methodologies that lie at their foundation. The terms qualitative and quantitative refer to the data collection methods, analysis, and reporting modes instead of the theoretical approach to the research (Mackenzie & Knipe, 2006).

**Table 1: Key Differences Between Methodology and Methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Methods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The approach to doing research considers the appropriateness of a method to address the research question</td>
<td>Tools to answer the research question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research conceptualisation stage</td>
<td>Research execution stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technique(s) suggesting how to conduct research in your research area/topic</td>
<td>Actual tools/techniques or steps taken to conduct research in your research area/topic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explain and justify the methods you used</td>
<td>Explain how the method is applied in a particular study: how you conducted surveys, interviews, in-person observations, focus groups, or medical tests or using existing datasets for secondary analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Find ways to efficiently solve a research problem</td>
<td>Practical solutions to the research questions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One common recommendation for postgraduate students and ECRs is that they look to journal articles as guidance for writing up their own methodology and methods, yet this can add to the confusion, as many articles present methodology and methods under a joint heading, some use one or the other, and others do not include this as a heading at all. The way the methods or methodology is written up could also depend on a range of factors, including discipline, publishing house style, the focus of peer reviewers, and/or limitations of word count. Table 1 outlines the key differences between methodology and methods.

We highlight some issues, using the context of Nepal as an example. Some journals, in their instructions to authors, request that a section on ‘Methodology’ is included, and the editors probably expect details about the methods used in your study, not its methodology. For example, the Birat Journal of Health Sciences [https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/bjhs/about/submissions#authorGuidelines] instructs authors using the following text: “…Please arrange the manuscript as follows: Title, Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Results, Discussion, Conclusion, Recommendations, and Limitation of the study, Acknowledgements, Conflict of interest, References, Tables, Figure and legends.” We have looked at some papers published in 2023 in this journal and selected five examples (see Box 2) that clearly refer to methods, but all have the subheading ‘Methodology.’

**Box 2: A few Examples of Texts That Refer to Methods But Labelled as ‘Methodology’**
Often journals may state the correct author instructions, for example the *Journal of Social Sciences & Management* requires authors to include a section called ‘Material & Methods’ (see Box. 3). However, despite the journal instructions being clear, papers can be accepted which confuse the two concepts. Again, in Box 3 the recent paper in this journal, shown on the right-hand side uses the sub-heading ‘Methodology of the Study’, in a section which only addresses methods.

**Box 3: Example of Disagreement Between Author Instructions and Published Methods**

Another relevant point is that for much of the scientific research, the methodology aligns to positivism trying to find the ‘one truth’, with the assumption “of an unmediated relation between the world and the acts of investigation of it” (Burman, 1997, p. 792). Therefore, the selected methods seek to ‘measure’ something, whether it be the efficacy of a medicine or the quantity of carbon dioxide. These methods are often seen as objective or neutral.

However, in social research, there is an acknowledgement that there may be more than one truth and both the researcher and methods chosen could impact the results. As Bryman (2012, p.6) argued, social research and social research methods are not practised in a vacuum. They are embedded in wider contextual factors, including the epistemological (how research should be conducted) and ontological (the nature of social phenomena) positions. The stance the researcher takes implies how the social
research is conducted. In such context, therefore, it is key to understand the methodological approach; as Bryman (2008) noted, “Methods became as much to do with philosophical choices as technical ones” (p. 161).

What Are the Complexities and Crossovers?

The most common definitions suggest that methodology is the overall approach to research linked to the paradigm or theoretical framework, while methods refer to systematic modes, procedures, or tools used for the collection and analysis of data (Mackenzie & Knipe, 2006). Research methodology has different dimensions and research methods constitute a part of that methodology. Research methodology not only constitutes research methods but also considers the logic behind the methods used as well as an explanation of the choice of a particular research method or technique applied over others. This is why, in a PhD thesis, your thoughts about the methodology come before you discuss the methods. A common mistake is to call the chapter ‘Methods’ when it should really be ‘Methodology and Methods’ to remind yourself and the reader that both are addressed in the chapter. Whereas research methods consist of all those methods the researchers use in performing research operations. These three groups consist of (i) methods concerned with the collection of primary data; (ii) the methods used to analyze the data (quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods); and (iii) methods to assess the quality (reliability and validity) of the results obtained.

Sometimes, your approach can also lead to confusion and the need to clarify both methodology and methods. Some researchers, especially those using mixed methods, favour a pragmatist approach, and mixed methods is a research methodology (Dawadi et al., 2021). This brings with it the possibility of the methodology being confused with the methods, as the philosophy focuses on seeking the most efficacious methods for answering the research question. Pragmatism originated in the USA in the 19th century and aims to contribute practical solutions to a problem to inform future practices (Saunders & Bristow, 2015). Pragmatism uses a concrete way to view the world and values objective and subjective knowledge (James, 1908), allowing quantitative and qualitative research methods in a study. It does not adhere to metaphysical concepts such as truth and reality (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011). Therefore, a pragmatist's philosophical standpoint sees the research question as more important than the underlying paradigm of the method (Punch, 2013), focusing on using what is needed to
answer the research question (Tashakkori & Teddlie, 2003). Once the methodology and methods have been identified it is perhaps even more important to ensure these are clearly explained in order that the research can be understood, replicated, and verified.

**Final Thoughts**

It is advisable to have a good knowledge of methodology and methods before conducting your research to avoid the pitfalls. We have found some useful books and articles on our reference list. We thought, therefore, it might be useful for those new to research, supervisors, and journal reviewers to offer a few suggestions (Box 4).

**Box 4: Advice on Considering Methodologies and Methods**

- Try to attend workshops/trainings to explore the concepts of philosophical approaches and research methodologies;
- Discuss methodologies and methods early on in research supervision sessions;
- Select your methodology first, as it will guide the decision on which methods to use;
- Supervisors to support students to ensure they can clearly identify/articulate the differences between methodology and methods;
- Be more precise about the use of language in your writing;
- Reviewers for journals need to ask for clarification when required;
- Clearly articulate your methodology rather than leaving the reader to guess as it is merely implied.

In summary, the methodology is a theoretical basis to support your chosen research methods. It helps us to recognise the elements influencing the effectiveness of the research approach used. It signifies the way to solve research problems efficiently. It is determined/applied during the initial stage of research. Methods are the specific techniques used to collect research data. To understand a research problem systematically, knowing the research methodology and methods is very important.
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